

Genome-guided isolation of *Fervidibacter sacchari*, an aerobic, hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading specialist

Brian Hedlund (✉ brian.hedlund@unlv.edu)

University of Nevada Las Vegas <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8530-0448>

Nancy Nou

University of Nevada Las Vegas

Jonathan Covington

University of Nevada Las Vegas

Dengxun Lai

University of Nevada, Las Vegas <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8761-7844>

Xavier Mayali

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2170-0773>

Cale Seymour

University of Nevada Las Vegas

Juliet Johnston

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Jian-Yu Jiao

Sun Yat-Sen University

Steffen Buessecker

Stanford University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1376-8049>

Damon Mosier

California State University, San Bernardino

Alise Muok

Leiden University

Nicole Torosian

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Allison Cook

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Ariane Briegel

Leiden University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3733-3725>

Tanja Woyke

U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9485-5637>

Emiley Eloë-Fadrosch

DOE JGI/Berkeley Lab <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8162-1276>

Nicole Shapiro

DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Scott Bryan

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Savannah Sleezer

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Joshua Dimapilis

CSU San Bernardino

Cristina Gonzalez

CSU San Bernardino

Lizett Gonzalez

California State University, San Bernardino

Marlene Noriega

California State University, San Bernardino

Matthias Hess

University of California Davis <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0321-0380>

Ross Carlson

Montana State University

Lan Liu

Sun Yat-sen University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8139-7246>

Meng-Meng Li

Sun Yat-Sen University

Zheng-Han Lian

School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, PR China

Siqi Zhu

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Fan Liu

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Xian Sun

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Beile Gao

South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Ritesh Mewalal

DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Miranda Harmon-Smith

DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Ian Blaby

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1631-3154>

Jan-Fang Cheng

DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Peter Weber

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6022-6050>

Gabriela Grigorean

University of California, Davis

Wen-Jun Li

Sun Yat-Sen University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1233-736X>

Anne Dekas

Stanford University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9548-8413>

Jeremy Dodsworth

CSU San Bernardino

Jennifer Pett-Ridge

Lawrence Livermore National Lab <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4439-2398>

Marika Palmer

University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Article**Keywords:**

Posted Date: January 10th, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3760178/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: There is **NO** Competing Interest.

Genome-guided isolation of *Fervidibacter sacchari*, an aerobic, hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading specialist

Nancy O. Nou^{1,2}, Jonathan K. Covington¹, Dengxun Lai¹, Xavier Mayali³, Cale O. Seymour¹, Juliet Johnston³, Jian-Yu Jiao⁴, Steffen Buessecker⁵, Damon Mosier^{6,7}, Alise R. Muok⁸, Nicole Torosian¹, Allison M. Cook¹, Ariane Briegel⁸, Tanja Woyke^{9,10}, Emiley Eloë-Fadrosh⁹, Nicole Shapiro⁹, Scott G. Bryan¹, Savannah Sleezer¹, Joshua Dimapilis⁶, Cristina Gonzalez⁶, Lizett Gonzalez⁶, Marlene Noriega⁶, Matthias Hess¹¹, Ross P. Carlson¹², Lan Liu⁴, Meng-Meng Li⁴, Zheng-Han Lian⁴, Siqi Zhu¹³, Fan Liu^{13,14}, Xian Sun¹³, Beile Gao¹³, Ritesh Mewalal⁹, Miranda Harmon-Smith⁹, Ian K. Blaby⁹, Jan-Fang Cheng⁹, Peter K. Weber³, Gabriela Grigorean¹⁵, Wen-Jun Li^{4,16}, Anne E. Dekas⁵, Jennifer Pett-Ridge³, Jeremy A. Dodsworth⁶, Marike Palmer^{1,17*}, Brian P. Hedlund^{1,18*}

¹ School of Life Sciences, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA

² Twist Bioscience, Wilsonville, OR, USA

³ Physical and Life Sciences Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA

⁴ State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Resources and Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, PR China

⁵ Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

⁶ Department of Biology, California State University, San Bernardino, CA, USA

⁷ Department of Earth, Energy, and Environment, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

⁸ Department of Microbial Sciences, Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE, Leiden, The Netherlands

⁹ DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

¹⁰ University of California Merced, Life and Environmental Sciences, Merced, California, USA

¹¹ Department of Microbiology, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

¹² Chemical and Biological Engineering and Center for Biofilm Engineering, Montana State University, MT, USA

¹³ CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio Resources and Ecology, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Marine Materia Medica, Innovation Academy of South China Sea Ecology and Environmental Engineering, Guangdong Provincial Observation and Research Station for Coastal Upwelling Ecosystem, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China

¹⁴ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹⁵ Proteomics Core, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

¹⁶ State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, PR China

¹⁷ Department of Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

¹⁸ Nevada Institute of Personalized Medicine, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, USA

* Correspondence to: Marike Palmer (marike.palmer@umanitoba.ca) and Brian Hedlund (brian.hedlund@unlv.edu)

Abstract

Few aerobic hyperthermophiles degrade polysaccharides. Here, we describe the genome-enabled enrichment and optical tweezer-based isolation of an aerobic polysaccharide-degrading hyperthermophile, *Fervidibacter sacchari*, which was originally ascribed to candidate phylum *Fervidibacteria*. *F. sacchari* uses polysaccharides and monosaccharides as sole carbon sources from 65-87.5 °C and expresses 191 carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) according to RNA-Seq and proteomics, including 31 with unusual glycoside hydrolase (GH)109, 177, or 179 domains. Many CAZymes were also expressed in a proteolytic enrichment culture, and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization and nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry confirmed rapid assimilation of ¹³C-starch in spring sediments. Purified GHs were optimally active at 80-100 °C on eight different polysaccharides. Finally, we reassign *Fervidibacteria* as a class within phylum *Armatimonadota*, along with 18 other species, and trace the evolution of aerobic and anaerobic polysaccharide catabolism within the phylum. This study establishes *Fervidibacteria* as unique hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading specialists in terrestrial geothermal springs.

Main text

Polysaccharides are the most abundant biological macromolecules on Earth and their structural and energy storage roles extend to all domains of life¹. Their innate recalcitrance derives from their structural complexity and low solubility, and their degradation into oligomers and monomers determines whether they are buried or recycled. Polysaccharide catabolism relies on the concerted efforts of diverse carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), among which glycoside hydrolases (GHs) play a pivotal role by hydrolyzing glycosidic bonds and forming a sugar hemiacetal or hemiketal along with the corresponding aglycon.

In high-temperature biotopes such as terrestrial geothermal springs, polysaccharides can be produced *in situ* by microorganisms or delivered as allochthonous material. Regardless of source, they can be degraded by thermophilic ($45 < T_{\text{opt}} < 80$ °C) and hyperthermophilic ($T_{\text{opt}} \geq 80$ °C) bacteria and archaea² among which most are fermenters, despite the energetic favorability of aerobic metabolism and the dominance of aerobic respiration among extant prokaryotes³⁻⁵. A better understanding of polysaccharide metabolism by thermophiles stands to improve our

knowledge of high-temperature ecosystems, and may provide new enzymes and paradigms for the biofuels industry, which is rate-limited by the depolymerization of lignocellulose wastes into fermentable sugars⁶.

While there are substantial gaps in our understanding of polysaccharide metabolism at high temperatures, even larger gaps limit our understanding of prokaryotic diversity. For example, uncultivated taxa account for ~85% of the phylogenetic diversity of prokaryotes⁷ and only 46 of 161 bacterial phyla in the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) have at least one pure culture⁸. These deep lineages can be studied to some extent without cultivation through meta-omics approaches and targeted experiments such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) coupled with nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (nanoSIMS)⁹ or bioorthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging (BONCAT)¹⁰. Nevertheless, the isolation of members of these lineages is a grand challenge in microbiology that would improve our understanding of the scope and scale of prokaryotic biology.

Here, we describe the genome-enabled isolation of *Candidatus* Fervidibacter sacchari – the first organism ascribed to candidate phylum Fervidibacteria¹¹ – and show that it has a unique niche as an aerobic, hyperthermophilic polysaccharide degradation specialist.

Results

Genome-guided enrichment and isolation of *Ca. Fervidibacter sacchari*

Although *Ca. F. sacchari* was missed in 16S rRNA gene surveys in Great Boiling Spring (GBS) Nevada (Fig. 1a) due to primer mismatches^{12–14}, shotgun metagenomics (Fig. 1b) and FISH (Fig. 1c) showed it to be the most abundant species in 85 °C clay-rich sediments. As part of a broad effort to cultivate novel thermophiles, 85 °C GBS sediments were inoculated into 22 media containing different carbon sources, O₂ and H₂ concentrations, and electron acceptors (Extended Data Fig. 1). *Ca. F. sacchari* enrichment was apparent under oxic (10% headspace O₂) and microoxic (2% O₂) conditions with either 0.005% (mass/vol) lignin (culture G-4), 0.5 mL of an aqueous extract from GBS photosynthetic mats (culture G-12), an equimolar mixture (1 mM) of the fatty acids butyrate, propionate, and acetate (culture G-2), or the fatty acid mixture plus 0.02% casamino acids (culture G-7) (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 1). Since those enrichments were

not maintained, a fifth culture containing 0.02% casamino acids (culture G-10; [Fig. 1d](#)) with a lower abundance of *Ca. F. sacchari* was used to establish long-term lab cultures containing *Ca. F. sacchari*.

Given the enrichment of *Ca. F. sacchari* under aerobic conditions with biomass substrates and its genome-predicted aerobic and saccharolytic metabolism^{11,15}, we designed lab enrichments that varied in O₂ concentration, incubation time, and genome-predicted polysaccharide substrates, and evaluated results using quantitative PCR (qPCR), 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, and FISH. Experiments using 0.02% casamino acids showed no difference in *Ca. F. sacchari* growth at 7 days with 5-21% headspace O₂ (vol:vol), and no growth under anaerobic conditions ([Supplementary Fig. 1](#)). Further experiments using 10% O₂ showed that *Ca. F. sacchari* reached maximum abundance within 4 days and decreased only slightly after 21 days ([Supplementary Fig. 2](#)). Finally, we screened for growth on polysaccharides that were predicted substrates based on GH families identified by dbCAN3¹⁶ ([Supplementary Note 1](#)) with 0.02% casamino acids as a control. Given the fast growth rate of *Ca. F. sacchari*, we relied on 4-day transfers (1:50 dilution) to dilute slow-growing species. After five months of continuous growth and transfers, *Ca. F. sacchari* growth was observed on four different polysaccharides ([Supplementary Fig. 3](#)), with locust bean gum cultures reaching >99.5% purity ([Fig. 1e](#)). Although no growth of *Ca. F. sacchari* was observed on solid media, a pure culture was obtained from the locust bean gum culture following three rounds of dilution to extinction and manual isolation of a single cell using optical tweezers and a sterile polydimethylsiloxane microfluidic chip mounted on an inverted microscope. The genome from the resulting isolate, strain PD1, has 98% average nucleotide identity (ANI) to the medium-quality SAG co-assembly originally designated *Ca. F. sacchari*¹¹. This strain is proposed as the nomenclatural type under the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes (ICNP) and is hereafter referred to as *Fervidibacter sacchari* PD1^T ([Table 1](#)).

Experiments with strain PD1^T were consistent with predictions from the genome and results from enrichment cultures. Strain PD1^T grew optimally at 5-10% O₂ ([Supplementary Fig. 4](#)) and was catalase-positive and oxidase-negative. Weak growth was also observed on xyloglucan under fermentative conditions ([Supplementary Fig. 5](#)). The temperature range for growth was 65 to 87.5 °C with an optimum of 80 °C, and a minimum generation time of 14.0 ± 0.9 hours ([Extended Data Fig. 2](#)). Strain PD1^T grew on 12 different polysaccharides, three sources of lignocellulose, and

seven different 5- and 6-carbon monosaccharides as sole carbon sources (Fig. 2a). Microcrystalline cellulose (90 μm) was also used as a substrate when cultures were supplied with 0.002% (mass/vol) yeast extract (Supplementary Fig. 6). Strain PD1^T also grew on 0.02% casamino acids as the sole carbon source, although growth was lower than on most polysaccharides and monosaccharides (Fig. 2a). Together, these results confirm that *F. sacchari* is an aerobic and hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading specialist and among the most thermophilic bacteria known¹⁷ (Supplementary Table 1).

The isolate was imaged with light microscopy and cryo-electron tomography, revealing ovoid- to rod-shaped cells that were 0.9-1.3 μm wide and 1.6-3.6 μm long (Fig. 1c,e,f). Many cells remained attached following division and were Gram-stain-negative. Cryo-EM confirmed the diderm cell envelope structure, including an 8.7 ± 1.3 nm cytoplasmic membrane, a 55.5 ± 3.5 nm periplasm containing a ~ 12.5 nm peptidoglycan layer, and a 12.1 ± 1.3 nm outer membrane, despite phylogenetic evidence that *F. sacchari* belongs to the Terrabacteria. Further descriptive information is in Supplementary Note 2.

***Fervidibacter sacchari* expresses a high number and diversity of carbohydrate-active enzymes**

To further probe the metabolism of *F. sacchari* and mechanisms underlying polysaccharide degradation, the PD1^T genome was co-assembled using Illumina and Oxford Nanopore data, resulting in a 3,952,382 bp circular chromosome and a 36,404 bp circular episome. Consistent with its aerobic respiration phenotype, the genome encoded an electron transport chain, including cytochrome c oxidase and the α -subunit of the high-affinity cytochrome bd ubiquinol oxidase (CydA), but CydB was absent. The PD1^T CydA homolog belongs to a rare clade that lacks a quinol binding site and instead has a heme c binding motif and likely operates without CydB⁴. Casamino acid utilization is consistent with the presence various peptidases, branched-chain amino acid permease, an OPT superfamily oligopeptide transporter, and dicarboxylate/amino acid:cation symporter. Genes encoding NiFe hydrogenase catalytic site synthesis and maturation proteins (*hypACDEF*) and a Group 3d NiFe hydrogenase were annotated¹⁸, suggesting H_2 production as an electron sink for NAD^+ regeneration^{18,19}. Additional genes for fermentation included L-lactate dehydrogenase, pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase, pyruvate formate lyase activating enzyme,

acetyl-CoA synthetase, DNA-binding sugar fermentation stimulation protein A (*sfsA*), and fermentation-respiration switch protein (*frsA*). KEGG module completion and additional interpretations are in [Supplementary Table 2](#) and [Supplementary Note 1](#).

As originally noted for *Ca. F. sacchari* SAGs¹¹, the defining signature of the PD1^T genome is an extraordinary number and diversity of CAZymes. Based on conservative annotations¹⁶, the PD1^T genome encodes 191 CAZymes, including 117 genes with GH domains spanning 49 GH families ([Supplementary Table 3](#)). This places *F. sacchari* among prokaryotes with the highest number and diversity of GHs¹⁶, with more GHs and GH families than other saccharolytic thermophiles such as *Kallotenue papyrolyticum* (78 GHs, 37 families), *Dictyoglomus turgidum* DSM6724 (62 GHs, 35 families), *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* DSM6725 (61 GHs, 34 families), *Thermotoga maritima* MSB8 (54 GHs, 31 families), *Saccharolobus solfataricus* (31 GHs, 17 families), *Geobacillus* sp. WSUCF1 (28 GHs, 18 families), *Pyrococcus furiosus* DSM 3638 (20 GHs, 10 families), and *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* (12 GHs, 7 families) ([Supplementary Table 1](#)). All of these CAZymes were expressed during growth on eight different polysaccharides as determined by RNA-Seq (191 CAZymes; [Extended Data Fig. 3](#) and [Supplementary Table 4](#)), or five different polysaccharides as determined by proteomics (168 CAZymes; [Fig. 2b-d](#) and [Supplementary Table 5](#)). Together these expression data account for 3,579 genes, or 97.4% of PD1^T genes.

Among the most highly expressed enzymes in both transcriptomes and proteomes were many of the 31 PD1^T enzymes with annotated GH109, 177, or 179 domains ([Fig. 2b](#)). These GH families are poorly characterized and biochemically unique. Only two enzymes – both GH109s - have been biochemically and structurally characterized, and both proceed through anionic transition states with elimination and reduction rather than oxocarbenium ion-like transition states used by most GHs²⁰. These enzymes use NAD⁺ as a cofactor and are the only known GHs with activity on both α and β glycosidic bonds as N-acetylgalactosaminidases^{21,22}. Similarly, the only characterized GH179, encoded by *Tannerella*, is an exo- α -sialidase or α -N-acetylneuraminidase²³, and the only characterized GH177, derived from a metagenome fragment from a *Sulfolobaceae* species, showed NAD⁺-dependent β -N-acetylglucosaminidase and β -glucosidase activities up to 85 °C²⁴. Based on computed structure models ([Extended Data Fig. 4](#)) and protein alignments ([Supplementary Table 6](#)), representative GH109, GH177, and GH179 enzymes also have long, narrow Rossmann fold NAD⁺ binding pockets and large surface-facing, seven-stranded, antiparallel β -sheets likely

involved in dimerization ([Supplementary Note 3](#)). Phylogenetic analysis of *F. sacchari* PD1^T enzymes and all other enzymes in these families from the CAZy Database revealed several lineages of PD1^T enzymes and *Armatimonadota* homologs that were distant from characterized enzymes ([Extended Data Fig. 5](#)). Eleven of these PD1^T enzymes have TAT signal peptides, suggesting extracellular polysaccharide metabolism ([Supplementary Table 3](#)). The high abundance of these enzymes in PD1^T, their conserved Rossmann folds, and their high expression levels is surprising because many hyperthermophilic enzymes replace NAD⁺ cofactors with functionally equivalent non-heme iron proteins²⁵.

Other CAZymes in the top quartile of RNA-Seq or proteome datasets included 30 different GH families and subfamilies, including five of the 13 multidomain CAZymes: CBM38+GH116, CBM67+CBM67+GH78, PL22+PL22_2, CBM67+GH78, and GH148-CBM4-GH148 ([Fig. 2b](#)). Several of these multidomain CAZymes have motifs with possible roles in biofilm formation or adhesion (e.g., Ig domains²⁶), carbohydrate binding (e.g., sugar-binding jelly roll fold domains²⁷), or protein scaffolding (e.g., tetratricopeptide motifs²⁸) ([Extended Data Fig. 6](#)). These domains suggest adhesion of some GHs to polysaccharides; however, cohesion and dockerin domains necessary for cellulosomes²⁹ were absent.

Although several CAZymes were highly expressed under all cultivation conditions, most were differentially expressed, and both transcriptomes and proteomes were different when considering all transcripts/proteins or only CAZymes ([Fig. 2](#), [Extended Data Fig. 3](#), and [Supplementary Tables 4 and 5](#)). The most distinct transcriptomes and proteomes according to PERMANOVA effect size (with each treatment compared to all others) were chondroitin sulfate (F = 3.91, transcriptome), gellan gum (F = 2.64 and F = 2.94, respectively), and xanthan gum (F = 3.88 and F = 2.77, respectively). Responses to these substrates may reflect modifications of the polysaccharide rather than the component sugars or glycosidic bonds. Chondroitin sulfate is a sulfated glycosaminoglycan, and gellan gum and xanthan gum are acetylated, and acetylated and pyruvylated, respectively. Acetylated and pyruvylated sugars are known components of the cell surfaces of thermophiles³⁰, which may be natural substrates for *F. sacchari*. Additional interpretations from expression data are included in [Supplementary Note 3](#).

***In situ* polysaccharide degradation and CAZyme expression in mixed culture**

Although the preferred carbon sources of strain PD1^T are polysaccharides, the sources of polysaccharides in 80 to 85 °C sediments that could support such a high abundance of *F. sacchari* are not obvious, given that well-developed photosynthetic mats form below 73 °C in hot springs³¹ and the dearth of plant material in GBS and most high-temperature springs. To determine whether *F. sacchari* can readily metabolize polysaccharides or other substrates *in situ*, we measured the assimilation of ¹³C atoms from potential substrates in fresh GBS sediment slurries using FISH-nanoSIMS and used the assimilation of ¹⁵N atoms from ammonia as a proxy for growth (Fig. 3a,b and Extended Data Fig. 7). These experiments revealed the O₂-dependent uptake of ¹³C atoms from labeled starch and amino acids and concomitant uptake of ammonium within four hours. ¹³C atoms from starch were not assimilated by non-*F. sacchari* cells as a whole within four hours, suggesting direct assimilation by *F. sacchari*. No uptake of ¹³C atoms from bicarbonate was detected and very little uptake from a fatty acid mixture was detected after 24 hours.

To complement FISH-nanoSIMS experiments, we mapped peptides derived from culture G-10, which was fed casamino acids as the carbon source, to the PD1^T genome. Among the 254 proteins detected were 35 CAZymes, including three of the multidomain proteins and single-domain enzymes belonging to 18 different GH families (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 7). Several of these CAZymes were also among the most highly expressed in PD1^T pure cultures, suggesting a common set of highly expressed CAZymes, even in culture G-10 where peptides were the primary carbon source. The G-10 metaproteome also encoded a nearly complete glycolytic pathway and TCA cycle, further suggesting sugars are important for *F. sacchari* metabolism even in the absence of added sugars. We suggest that *F. sacchari* may be nourished by polysaccharides produced by other hyperthermophiles in culture G-10 and, by extension, in natural springs as well. Possible sources of sugars that could be produced by hyperthermophiles include glycoprotein S-layers, lipopolysaccharides, or exopolysaccharides^{30,32,33}. Some other *F. sacchari* proteins detected in culture G-10 suggest peptide catabolism, including M6, M28, S9, S16, S41A, and S55 family peptidases, M20/M25/M40 family metallo-hydrolase, trypsin-like peptidase domain-containing protein, oligogalacturonate lyase family protein, and OPT superfamily oligopeptide transporter, or acetate catabolism, such as acetyl-CoA synthase and acetate-CoA ligase.

Together, the lab cultivation experiments, FISH-nanoSIMS, and metaproteomics results suggest *F. sacchari* can metabolize both autochthonous microbial polysaccharides and allochthonous plant

polysaccharides, in addition to proteins and acetate. Protein and acetate metabolism are consistent with aspartate and acetate assimilation by *Fervidibacter* in Gongxiaoshe Hot Spring, China³⁴. The saccharolytic activity of *F. sacchari* superficially contrasts with BONCAT experiments conducted in a Yellowstone hot spring, which showed a decrease in translation among highly abundant members of the genus *Fervidibacter* following cellobiose addition¹⁰. However, cellobiose and cellotriose are known to inhibit cellulase biosynthesis and activity of saccharolytic, fermentative thermophiles^{35,36}. This has been suggested to be an evolutionary and/or physiological response to tight energy budgets associated with anaerobic cellulolysis, since ATP-dependent transport of oligosaccharides provides more sugar monomers per ATP than monomers^{37,38}. A similar process may explain the inhibition of *Fervidibacter* in the BONCAT experiments, albeit under oxic conditions. The BONCAT experiments also showed decreased *Fervidibacter* translation in anaerobic incubations, consistent with the preferred aerobic metabolism of PD1^T and O₂-dependent activity in our FISH-nanoSIMS experiments.

Purified glycoside hydrolases are hyperthermophilic and depolymerize diverse substrates

To investigate the roles of individual GHs in polysaccharide metabolism, we expressed 108 putative PD1^T GHs in *E. coli* (Supplementary Table 8) and screened them for activity against 14 polysaccharides and three lignocellulose sources (Fig. 4a). Seven enzymes showed activity in these screens and were optimally active at 80-100 °C on agarose (Fsa16295Glu), carboxymethyl curdlan (Fsa16295Glu), carboxymethyl cellulose (Fsa01040Cel), chondroitin sulfate (Fsa07975Nga), β -glucan (Fsa16295Glu, Fsa11540Glu, Fsa02490Xyn), galactan (Fsa01670Gal), gellan gum (Fsa11540Glu), *Miscanthus* (Fsa02490Xyn), and xylan (Fsa02490Cel, Fsa15405Xyn) (Fig. 4b-e). These enzymes were classified by dbCAN3 and/or Prokka into GH3 (15405Xyn), GH5 (Fsa01040Cel), GH10 (Fsa02490Xyn), GH50 (Fsa16295Glu and Fsa11540Glu), GH123 (Fsa07975Nga), and GH147 (Fsa01670Gal). Fsa16295Glu, Fsa11540Glu, Fsa07975Nga, and Fsa01670Gal are the first known hyperthermophilic enzymes in GH50, GH123, and GH147. Several of these GHs were among the most highly expressed GHs in pure cultures and culture G-10 (Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 3, and Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). However, despite the abundance of annotated GH109, 177, and 179 enzymes in *F. sacchari*, none were shown to be active (Supplementary Note 3). Collectively, the breadth of substrates and hyperthermophily of

these GHs are consistent with the hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading lifestyle of *F. sacchari*.

F. sacchari* belongs to class *Fervidibacteria* within the phylum *Armatimonadota

To better understand the ecology and evolution of *F. sacchari* and its relatives, we first required a better understanding of how *F. sacchari* is related to other microorganisms. To do this, we initially conducted maximum-likelihood analyses using the PD1^T 16S rRNA gene, which placed PD1^T into a monophyletic clade with *Thermotogota*, *Candidatus* Bipolaricaulota, and UBP14 (Supplementary Fig. 7). This result adds to conflicting results on the deep evolution of *Fervidibacteria*, which were originally placed at the root of the domain *Bacteria*³⁹, later designated a candidate phylum¹¹, or most recently assigned to phylum *Armatimonadota*⁸. To resolve these conflicts, we gathered 64 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) and SAGs from geothermal springs in the U.S. Great Basin, Yellowstone, the Canadian Rockies, Antarctica, Malaysia, and China, along with all high-quality *Armatimonadota* genomes in GTDB, a representative of each class in the domain *Bacteria*, and a representative of each order in the Terrabacteria (Supplementary Tables 9 and 10). Maximum-likelihood analysis of three marker gene sets – bac120, bcgTree, and UBCG2 – indicated strong support for the monophyly of these genomes and placed them as a deep lineage within phylum *Armatimonadota*, consistent with the GTDB (Fig. 5 and Extended Data Fig. 8). We note that *F. sacchari* shares some phenotypic properties with all five *Armatimonadota* isolates described to date, including aerobic and saccharolytic metabolism, high abundance and diversity of GH109, 177, and 179 domain enzymes, low salt tolerance, and circumneutral pH for growth (Supplementary Table 11; Supplementary Note 2), and other shared properties predicted from representative *Armatimonadota* genomes, including simple chemosensory systems and moderately complex signaling networks, both suggestive of restricted ecological niches (Supplementary Note 1; Supplementary Figs. 8-10; Supplementary Tables 12-17). Taxonomy was then assigned to *Fervidibacteria* genomes according to patterns of monophyly, relative evolutionary divergence⁸, average amino acid identity (AAI)⁴⁰, and average nucleotide identity (ANI)⁴¹ (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 18). These genomes were thus placed into one class, one order, two families, three genera, and 19 species, with each species being restricted to one continent and the largest genus, *Fervidibacter*, comprised of eight species in North

America and five species in Asia. The wide distribution of two *Fervidibacteria* genera but limited distribution of their component species is consistent with fast dispersal rates of most bacteria⁴², although mechanisms of intercontinental dispersal of terrestrial thermophiles are poorly understood. We herein propose a taxonomy and nomenclature for *Fervidibacteria* within the phylum *Armatimonadota* by using a combination of the ICNP (Table 1) and SeqCode (Supplementary Table 19), with only high-quality MAGs encoding complete 16S rRNA genes as nomenclatural types⁴³ (Supplementary Note 5).

Evolution of aerobic and anaerobic polysaccharide catabolism in the *Armatimonadota*

With the phylogeny and taxonomy of the *Fervidibacteria* in place, we examined common features of *Fervidibacteria* and *Armatimonadota* and constructed ancestral character states, focusing on polysaccharide catabolism. This revealed that the high number and diversity of GHs encoded by PD1^T are typical of both *Fervidibacteria* and *Armatimonadota*, which ranged from 51-349 total GHs and 25-108 GH families (Fig. 5c,d and Extended Data Fig. 9). Putative GHs with GH177 and 179 domains were also prevalent across the phylogeny and can be considered defining features of the phylum. Despite conservation of polysaccharide catabolism, and the aerobic metabolism of all cultured *Armatimonadota*, the analysis revealed that anaerobic metabolism was ancestral within the phylum, with a progressive gain of both high-affinity (i.e., CydAB) and low-affinity (i.e., CcoNOP/CoxABC) terminal oxidases through horizontal gene transfer in several lineages. The class *Fervidibacteria* exemplified this pattern, with a gain of multiple copies of CoxABC in the common ancestor of the family *Fervidibacteraceae* (node 4) and the subsequent loss of those genes in *Fervidibacter sinensis* (node 1). In contrast, the family *Thermosaccharophagaceae* never gained aerobic terminal oxidases, but instead gained a group 1a respiratory H₂-uptake [NiFeSe] hydrogenase, suggesting hydrogenotrophy⁴⁴, and a group 4b formate-respiring, H₂-evolving [NiFe] hydrogenase⁴⁵ (node 8), suggesting H₂ and formate metabolism in addition to polysaccharide fermentation.

Discussion

The exploration of microbial diversity via metagenomics and single-cell genomics has improved our perspective of biodiversity; however, as championed by Koch⁴⁶, our ability to understand individual species is greatly improved by synergistic studies of pure cultures and their behavior within complex systems. By combining predictions based on single-cell genomes and MAGs, enrichment cultures, and isolation via optical tweezers, we obtained the first pure culture of a major lineage of bacteria that is globally distributed and locally abundant in terrestrial hot springs. Studies of the *F. sacchari* pure culture, its behavior in enrichment cultures and *in situ*, and related genomes from three continents confirmed predictions that *Fervidibacter* is saccharolytic and suggest an ancient and conserved role in polysaccharide metabolism throughout the class *Fervidibacteria*. Although other hyperthermophilic polysaccharide-degrading prokaryotes are known, most are strict anaerobes that are present at low abundance *in situ* but can bloom in response to added plant polysaccharides^{14,47,48}. *Fervidibacter* is unusual based on its high abundance in mineral sediments and its aerobic and hyperthermophilic metabolism. The high abundance of an aerobic hyperthermophilic polysaccharide degrader is surprising given the dearth of plant-derived polysaccharides in most high-temperature springs, the low solubility of O₂ at high temperatures, and the reducing chemistry of most hydrothermal fluids⁴⁹.

Saccharolobus solfataricus and *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* are also aerobic hyperthermophiles that degrade polysaccharides such as cellulose, xyloglucan, and starch^{50–52}, although they encode only 31 and 12 annotated GHs, using conservative annotations in dbCAN3. They can also be abundant in terrestrial springs, although their ecological niche is distinct from *Fervidibacteria* due to their preference for vapor-dominated acid-sulfate springs. Aerobic polysaccharide metabolism imparts substantial differences compared to better-studied fermentative, saccharolytic hyperthermophiles. For example, coupling sugar catabolism with the reduction of O₂ translates into ~10 times more ATP per hexose as compared to a mixed acid fermenter (~30 ATP vs 3 ATP, respectively)⁵³ and would not require co-located hydrogenotrophs as electron sinks. Also, energy-limited anaerobes typically anchor CAZymes to the cell wall, which conserves expensive enzymes but limits the physical range of substrate acquisition^{54–56}. Alternatively, aerobes typically secrete soluble cellulolytic enzymes into the local environment, which enables acquisition of substrate further from the cell but also results in the loss of some enzymes. This tradeoff is competitive due to higher-yielding energy metabolism of the aerobe^{54–56}. Overall, the energetic advantages of aerobic

polysaccharide metabolism may contribute to the abundance of *Fervidibacter in situ*, particularly in springs limited by low concentrations and fluxes of inorganic electron donors^{12,57,58}.

This study also suggests polysaccharide catabolism is prevalent and ancient across the phylum *Armatimonadota*, and we suggest polysaccharide catabolism is a defining feature of the phylum. We also show that GH177 and 179 enzymes are prevalent throughout the phylum, although none of these *Armatimonadota* enzymes have been biochemically characterized to date. A better understanding of their substrates and functions may improve our understanding of this poorly characterized phylum and improve their culturability.

Methods

Enrichment cultures, isolation, and cultivation of pure cultures

The initial enrichment medium was based on the “spring water medium” described in ref.⁹. Briefly, 0.5X GBS salts synthetic medium⁵⁹ was combined 1:1 with Great Boiling Spring water passed through a 0.22 µm Sterivex polyethersulfone filter (Millipore Sigma). GBS salts synthetic medium contains, per liter of 18.2 MΩ·cm water: 3 g NaCl, 0.3 g Na₂SO₄, 0.15 g KCl, 0.015 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.123 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.02 g NH₄Cl, and 5 mL of a trace mineral solution⁶⁰. The trace mineral solution contained per liter: 2.5 g Na₂-EDTA, 2.2 g KOH, 1.1 g FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.029 g ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.098 g MnCl₂·4H₂O, 0.031 g H₃BO₃, 0.024 g CoCl₂·6H₂O, 0.017 g CuCl₂·2H₂O, and 0.024 g NaMoO₄·2H₂O and was adjusted to pH 6.5 with HCl. Following combination, the medium was sparged with N₂ gas for one hour and 50 mL aliquoted into acid-washed 165-mL borosilicate glass serum bottles in an anaerobic chamber.

The bottles were sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimps, the headspace was exchanged with N₂ by three cycles of vacuuming to 25 mm Hg and repressurizing to 15 psi with N₂, and the medium bottles were sterilized by autoclaving. Prior to inoculation, the following were added at the indicated final concentrations from 0.2 µm-filtered, anoxic stocks: 0.002% m/v yeast extract, 0.1 mM NaHPO₄, 0.2 mM NaHCO₃, 0.16X strength Wolfe’s vitamins⁶¹, 2 mM HEPES buffered to pH 7.5, and corresponding substrate as described in Extended Data Fig. 1. Filter-sterilized air was added by syringe to the headspace at described volume. The medium was

inoculated with a sediment slurry collected from the top ~1 cm of sediment at the “A” site of GBS¹³ (40°39’41.3”N, 119°21’58.1”W; 85 °C, pH 7.1 at time of collection) on July 2, 2016, transferred to the laboratory at ambient temperature, and incubated at described temperature the following day. A stable mixed culture was maintained over two years by transferring 1:100 dilutions to fresh media every 3-4 weeks for the first four transfers, and then every 3 weeks thereafter. Culture composition was monitored by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform⁶² at initial sampling and two subsequent transfers (Extended Data Fig. 1). Sequences were taxonomically identified using BLASTN against the nt_prok database⁶³ or using SINA Search and Classify⁶⁴, and common contaminants were removed⁶⁵. Stable mixed cultures of select groups were maintained over several years by transferring 1:100 v/v dilutions to fresh media every 3-4 weeks.

To target *Fervidibacter* enrichment, cells were targeted for growth in the “spring water medium” using varying (i) O₂ concentrations (0, 5, 10, 15, and 21% v/v headspace, with 0.02% m/v casamino acids and 7, 14, and 21 days of incubation time); (ii) incubation times (2, 4, 6, 14, and 21 days, with 0.02% m/v casamino acids and 10% v/v O₂ headspace); and (iii) carbon sources in place of the casamino acids (0.2% m/v carboxymethyl cellulose, 0.02% m/v casamino acids, 0.02% m/v casamino acids with 10% v/v H₂ headspace, 1% m/v formate, 0.2% m/v *myo*-inositol, 0.2% m/v locust bean gum, sugar mix of 0.025% m/v each D-glucose/D-mannose/D-xylose, 0.2% m/v xylan, and 0.05% m/v xyloglucan, with 10% v/v O₂ headspace (unless otherwise stated) and 4 and 7 days of incubation time. For each enrichment and time point, cells from four mL of culture were pelleted and preserved at -80 °C. DNA was extracted using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals). *Fervidibacter* abundance in each condition relative to standardized total mass was monitored by quantitative PCR using primers specific to *Fervidibacteria* 16S rRNA genes, OctSp_For1 (5’-GCTCAACGGGCAGAGGTCG-3’) and OctSp_Rev2 (5’-ACGGGGAGGGTCGARACTC-3’). Linearized plasmid containing the near-full length *Ca. F. sacchari* 16S rRNA gene was used as a standard. Quantitative PCR was performed in 96-well plates in a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) using PerfeCTa SYBR Green SuperMix (Quantabio). The cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min followed by 36 cycles of denaturation (95 °C for 30 s), annealing (60.5 °C for 60 s), and

extension (72 °C for 45 s), and finally 72 °C for 60 s and 55 °C for 60 s, followed by a melt curve analysis from 55 to 95 °C to check for primer specificity.

Cells were sub-cultured from July to December 2018 under the best conditions (10% O₂ headspace; four days incubation time; and casamino acids, carboxymethyl cellulose, locust bean gum, xyloglucan, and xylan as carbon sources). After confirming the majority of the culture was *Fervidibacter* cells by FISH (described below) and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing, four rounds of dilution-to-extinction of the locust bean gum culture was performed. Using the highly *Fervidibacter*-enriched culture, cell sorting using optical tweezers and a sterile polydimethylsiloxane microfluidic chip mounted on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-U microscope was performed as described previously^{66,67} to obtain ten single cells. Each cell was used to inoculate “spring water medium” containing either xyloglucan or locust bean gum. Of the ten cultures, only a single xyloglucan culture resulted in growth, yielding cells of a single morphotype designated strain PD1^T.

For pure culture experiments, *Fervidibacter* medium was used to grow cells of *F. sacchari* PD1^T. *Fervidibacter* medium consists of GBS salts synthetic medium as described above with the following additions at the indicated final concentrations: (i) 0.02% m/v xyloglucan as the electron donor and carbon source, (ii) a trace element solution containing 100 µM Na₂SeO₄, 10 µM Na₂WO₄·2H₂O, 1 µM Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O, 100 nM Ni(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·6H₂O, and 10 nM VOSO₄; (iii) vitamins supplied as 1X Wolfe’s vitamins neutralized with 100 µM sodium phosphate, which also served as the source of phosphorous⁶¹; and (iv) 2 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.5 (at 80 °C). Air was added to the N₂ headspace to 50% v/v final concentration. Cells were routinely grown at 80 °C with 100 rpm of orbital shaking for 4-7 days.

Cryo-electron microscopy

Cell morphology was observed using cells grown on starch at 80 °C after 4-5 days of cultivation. Cells were observed by phase-contrast microscopy with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging microscope or by cryo-electron microscopy (2D, cryo-EM) and cryo-electron tomography (3D, cryo-ET). Briefly, cells were grown to late-exponential phase using *Fervidibacter* medium (described above) with xyloglucan replaced by soluble starch. Cultures were run through a 5-µm polyethersulfone

filter to remove minerals and other insoluble matter. Three μ L aliquots were applied to glow-discharged R2/200 mesh copper Quantifoil grids, pre-blotted for 60 s, then blotted for 2 s. Grids were pre-blotted and blotted using an automated Leica EM GP plunge freezer set to 21 °C and 95% humidity and plunge-frozen in liquid ethane. Cells were imaged using a 120-kV Talos L120C transmission electron microscope housed at the Netherlands Centre for Electron Nanoscopy.

Genome sequencing and assembly

DNA was isolated from strain PD1^T by using a CTAB procedure (<https://jgi.doe.gov/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/JGI-Bacterial-DNA-isolation-CTAB-Protocol-2012.pdf>). *De novo* genome assembly required three separate sequencing runs using: (i) the Illumina MiniSeq platform with 2x150 bp paired-end reads at The Sequencing Center (Fort Collins, CO); (ii) the Illumina NovaSeq S4 platform at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI); and (iii) an Oxford Nanopore MinION Mk1B device using a FLO-MIN106 flow cell, using sequencing libraries prepared with the Native Barcoding Expansion (EXP-NBD104) and Ligation Sequencing (SQK-LSK109) kits using Nanopore protocol version NBE_9065_v109_revAH_14Aug2019. Raw reads from the three sequencing runs were combined in a hybrid assembly with SPAdes v3.15.4⁶⁸ using the --nanopore option for the uncorrected long reads and both sets of paired reads generated from Illumina technologies. The final genome sequence was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline⁶⁹. CAZymes were additionally annotated using the dbCAN3 meta server and HMMdb v12.0 and conservatively requiring CAZymes to be identified by at least two tools (HMMER vs. dbCAN, DIAMOND vs. CAZy, and/or HMMER vs. dbCAN-sub)¹⁶.

Metagenome sequencing, assembly, and binning

For the G-10 lab enrichment culture, extracted DNA was sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (2x250 bp) and Oxford Nanopore Technology. Briefly, for long-read sequencing, high-molecular weight DNA was extracted using the JGI bacterial CTAB extraction protocol (<https://jgi.doe.gov/user-programs/pmo-overview/protocols-sample-preparation-information/jgi-bacterial-dna-isolation-ctab-protocol-2012/>), with modifications consisting of three freeze-thaw cycles following lysozyme treatment, in a dry ice/ethanol bath and a water bath at 65 °C for three minutes per cycle. RNase A (Promega, Madison, WI, USA, catalog number: A7973) was used for

denaturation of ribonucleic acids, and an additional extraction step following RNase treatment with chloroform/isoamyl was done. The sequencing library was prepared with the EXP-NBD104 Native Barcoding Expansion Kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) and the SQK-LSK109 Ligation Sequencing Kit, following the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced with a MinION Mk1B device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) with a FLO-MIN106 flow cell. Sequence reads for the G-10 culture were then assembled by first assembling all long-read data using metaFLYE v. 2.8.2⁷⁰ using the --nana-raw and --meta options. The obtained assembly was then used as untrusted contigs for assembly of the Illumina reads using metaSPAdes v. 3.12.1⁷¹.

A total of 27 *Fervidibacteria* MAGs were available in public databases based on previous studies of geothermal springs in the U.S. Great Basin^{7,11,14,72}, Tengchong, China⁷, Yellowstone National Park^{7,73}, a Japanese Gold Mine⁷⁴, SKY Hot Spring, Malaysia⁷⁵, and Mt. Erebus, Antarctica⁷. Five others from GBS, Octopus Spring, Gongxiaoshe, and Jinze Spring were derived from existing assemblies of publicly available metagenomes that were binned as part of this study using either MetaWatt v1.7⁷⁶ or ESOM⁷⁷ or a combination of the two, as previously described⁷⁸.

The largest source of *Fervidibacteria* MAGs (36 total) was metagenomes from geothermal springs from Tengchong, China. For these metagenomes, extracted DNA was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq4000 platform, in a paired-end configuration at Beijing Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). Sequence reads were filtered, assembled, and binned as described previously⁷⁹ using metaSPAdes v3.9.04 and MetaBAT2⁸⁰. All MAGs were subjected to quality checks with CheckM v1.0.12 and CheckM2 v1.0.1⁸¹. Ribosomal RNA genes and tRNA genes were identified with barrnap v0.9 and tRNAscan-SE v2.0.11, respectively.

Taxonomy was assigned to reads from the 85 °C GBS sediment metagenome (Fig. 1b) by using Kaiju⁸². Briefly, reads were searched against NCBI NR database and then the unclassified reads were subsequently searched against a custom reference database of high-quality representative genomes comprised of MAGs or isolates from GBS. MAGs were published previously or were assembled and binned as described above (unclassified *Desulfurococcales* (RAST 6666666.879388), unclassified *Geoarchaeales* (RAST 6666666.752844), *Calditenuis* sp. (RAST 3071572.3), *Wolframiraptor gerlachensis* (GCA_021323375.2). Isolate genomes included *F.*

sacchari PD1^T, (GCA_030520105.1), *Pyrobaculum* sp. (RAST 2276.22), and *Thermoflexus hugenholtzii* JAD2^T (GCF_900187885.1).

Differential transcriptomics analysis

Cells of *F. sacchari* were grown in *Fervidibacter* medium with either 0.05% w/v chondroitin sulfate (Alfa Aesar), 0.05% m/v β -glucan from oat (Megazyme), 0.05% m/v gellan gum (Serva), 0.05% m/v locust bean gum (Spectrum), 0.04% m/v starch from potato (J.T. Baker), 0.05% m/v xanthan gum (Sigma), 0.02% m/v xyloglucan from tamarind (CarboMer), or 0.02% m/v ammonia fiber expansion-pretreated corn stover (DuPont) as sole carbon sources. Each culture was used as inoculum for same-condition media (1:50 dilution) over at least three transfers. Following the last transfer, cultures were grown in triplicate under each condition to mid-exponential phase. Cultures were rapidly cooled in a dry ice/ethanol bath and pelleted at 5000 x *g* for eight minutes at 4 °C using a Beckman Coulter J2-HS centrifuge. Supernatant was removed and cell pellets were quickly frozen and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) using the enzymatic lysis procedure and on-column DNase treatment. Quantity was measured with a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer, and quality was measured with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and NanoDrop 1000. Samples with sufficient biomass (≥ 1 μ g total RNA) and RIN (6.5 or higher) were sent to the JGI for library preparation, sequencing, and mapping. An input of 100 ng of total RNA was used to deplete rRNA using the QIAseq FastSelect 5S/16S/23S Kit (Qiagen). Using TruSeq stranded mRNA kit (Illumina), the 300 - 400 bp heat-fragmented RNA was reverse transcribed to create the first strand of cDNA with random hexamers and SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) followed by second strand synthesis. The double stranded cDNA fragments were treated with A-tailing, ligation with JGI's unique dual indexed adapters (IDT) and enriched using 10 cycles of PCR. The prepared libraries were quantified using KAPA Biosystems' next-generation sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Sequencing of the flowcell was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq sequencer using NovaSeq XP V1.5 reagent kits, S4 flowcell, following a 2x151 indexed run recipe. Quality-filtered reads were mapped to the PD1^T reference genome using STAR aligner 2.7.10b_alpha_23-06-09⁸³ with the maximum intron size parameter set to 1. Transcripts were mapped to coding sequences from the GCF_030520105.1 assembly using

FeatureCounts 2.0.0⁸⁴. Differential expression was calculated using DESeq2 1.40.2. Loci wherein fewer than 10 transcripts were mapped across the entire dataset were omitted and counts were transformed using a regularized log₂ transformation. For the sake of ordination, the log₂-transformed transcript counts were variance-scaled, and the minimum value added so that all counts were non-negative. The transcriptomes were ordinated using the metaMDS function from the R package vegan 2.6.4⁸⁵, according to Euclidean distance calculated from the positive, scaled feature counts.

Differential proteomics analysis

Cells of *F. sacchari* were grown in *Fervidibacter* medium with either 0.05% m/v β -glucan from oat (Megazyme), 0.05% m/v gellan gum (Serva), 0.05% m/v locust bean gum (Spectrum), 0.04% m/v starch from potato (J.T. Baker), or 0.02% m/v xyloglucan from tamarind (CarboMer) as a sole carbon source. Each culture was used as inoculum for same-condition media (1:50 dilution) over at least three transfers. Cultures were then grown in triplicate under each condition. Following growth of the last transfer to early stationary phase, culture was cooled quickly in a dry ice/ethanol bath and pelleted at 6000 x g for 15 minutes at 4 °C using a Beckman Coulter J2-HS centrifuge. Cellular pellet and culture supernatant were separated into different centrifuge tubes and stored at -80 °C until processing. Soluble cellular proteins were collected from the pellet using the B-PER Complete Protein Extraction Reagent (ThermoFisher). Soluble secreted proteins were collected from the supernatant by methanol/chloroform precipitation as previously described⁸⁶. The mass of each sample and fraction was measured using the EZQ Protein Quantitation Kit (ThermoFisher). Both cellular and secreted fractions of each replicate were combined 1:1 by mass (19 μ g each) at the Mick Hitchcock, Ph.D. Nevada Proteomics Center (Reno, NV, USA). Protein extracts were digested with a trypsin/Lys-C protease mixture using the EasyPep MS Sample Prep Kit (Thermo Scientific). Samples were analyzed using an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were trapped prior to separation on a 300 μ m i.d. x 5 mm C18 PepMap 100 trap (Thermo Scientific) for 5 minutes at 10 μ L/min. Separation was performed on a 50 cm uPac C18 nano-LC column (PharmaFluidics) on an EasySpray source (Thermo Scientific) fitted with a 30 μ m ID stainless steel emitter (PepSep) at 350 nL/min using a gradient from 1-45% for 60 min. Data-independent analysis (DIA) was performed using an Eclipse Tribrid Orbitrap mass

spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). A chromatographic library was generated using the Spectronaut v.16.5.221115 (Biognosys) search engine Pulsar to generate hybrid (DIA and data-dependent analysis (DDA)) spectra using an aliquot from each sample to form a pool of peptides. The library was generated using a combination of six gas phase fractions (GPF) and full scan DDA of the biological sample pool. GPF acquisition used 4 m/z precursor isolation windows in a staggered pattern at a resolution of 60,000. Automatic gain control (AGC) target was set to custom with a normalized target of 1,000%, maximum injection time set to dynamic with a minimum of nine points across the peak, and normalized collision energy set to 33 using higher-energy collision dissociation. Expression data were normalized using Spectronaut v.16.5.221115 (Biognosys). Differentially expressed proteins were identified using R package proDA 1.14.0⁸⁷. For ordination, normalized protein counts were variance-scaled, and the minimum value added so that all counts were non-negative. The proteomes were ordinated using the metaMDS function from the R package vegan 2.6.4⁸⁵, according to Euclidean distance calculated from the positive, scaled protein counts.

Stable isotope labeling

Stable isotope labeling was performed on-site at GBS in July 2017. GBS sediment from the “C” site¹³ was added to pre-prepared 60-mL amber serum vials containing 8 mL of autoclaved GBS water with an air (aerobic) or N₂ (anaerobic) headspace, generating 10 mL microcosms. GBS water was sparged with N₂ prior to sterilization for anaerobic incubations. Various individual ¹³C-labeled substrates (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) were then added from concentrated, anaerobic stocks to the microcosms at 0.001% (mass/volume) final concentration: algal amino acids, algal starch, bicarbonate, or an equal-mass mixture of acetate, propionate and butyrate; a control with no label added was also performed and did not yield enriched cells. Additionally, 0.5 mM ¹⁵N-NH₄Cl was added to all microcosms. Sealed microcosms with labeled substrates were incubated at 75 °C for 4 or 24 hours. Sample processing, fixation in paraformaldehyde for FISH, and storage proceeded as described previously⁹.

FISH

Detection of *F. sacchari* cells was performed with FISH using the cyanine-3 (cy-3) or 6-carboxymethylfluorescein (6-FAM) probe Ferv_891, which is specific to *Fervidibacteria* 16S rRNA: 5' GCGCTTATTGGTTTCCCTGCG (Integrated DNA Technologies). It was designed based on multiple sequence alignment of 16S rRNA genes of *Fervidibacteria* and other bacteria and checked using the Probe Match function of the Ribosomal Database Project⁸⁸ and checked for specificity using SILVA's Testprobe 3.0 tool⁸⁹. Cells from laboratory enrichments were fixed using a 1% PFA solution for 30 min, followed by storage in 50% v/v ethanol at -20 °C until use. Cells from GBS sediment microcosms to be used for nanoSIMS were fixed using 1% PFA for 1 h and stored identically. Fixed cells were spotted and air dried on either 12-well slides or custom synthesized 14-well indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated slides rather than using filters, followed by a series of ethanol dehydration steps. After drying, hybridization was performed for at least 8 h at 46 °C using a solution containing 20% formamide, 0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris (pH 8), and 0.01% SDS, followed by washing at 48 °C using a solution containing 0.225 M NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris (pH 8), and 0.01% SDS. Cells were counterstained with 1 µg/mL DAPI solution and mounted with a 1:4 Vectashield/Citifluor mixture. Cells from the laboratory enrichments were visualized with an Axio Imager.M2 (Zeiss) using ZEN software. Isotopically labeled cells were visualized with a Leica DM5500B microscope running MetaMorph software with a 100× magnification dry immersion objective. Fluorescence and brightfield images were collected at diverse locations with FISH-positive cells. The X-Y and fiducial locations were noted to enable navigation in the nanoSIMS.

NanoSIMS

NanoSIMS analysis was performed as described previously⁹. Briefly, ITO-coated well slides were analyzed on a CAMECA NanoSIMS 50 at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Fiducial locations and locations of the FISH-positive cells were found with a charge-coupled device camera using X-Y coordinates and the real time imaging unit. The primary Cs⁺ ion beam was set to 1.5 pA, corresponding to an approximately 100 nm diameter beam diameter at 16 keV. Rastering was performed over 20 × 20 µm analysis areas with a dwell time of 1 ms pixel⁻¹ for 19-30 scans (cycles) and generated images containing 256 × 256 pixels. Sputtering equilibrium at each analysis area was achieved with an initial beam current of 90 pA to a depth of ~10 nm. After tuning the secondary ion mass spectrometer for mass resolving power of ~7000, secondary electron images

and quantitative secondary ion images were simultaneously collected for $^{12}\text{C}_2^-$, $^{13}\text{C}^{12}\text{C}^-$, $^{12}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}^-$, and $^{12}\text{C}^{15}\text{N}^-$ on individual electron multipliers in pulse counting mode. All nanoSIMS datasets were initially processed using L'Image (<http://limagesoftware.net>, August 2021) to perform deadtime and image shift correction of ion image data before creating $^{13}\text{C}^{12}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}_2$ and $^{12}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}/^{12}\text{C}^{15}\text{N}$ ratio images, which reflected the level of ^{13}C and ^{15}N incorporation into biomass. Regions of interest for isotopic ratio quantification were drawn manually around each cell. A cell was considered isotopically enriched, and therefore anabolically active, if the isotopic composition exceeded three times the standard deviation of the mean isotopic composition of unlabeled (non-*Fervidibacteria*) cells.

Metaproteomics

The G1-10 culture containing *F. sacchari* was grown in "spring water medium" as described above with 0.02 % m/v casamino acids and 10% v/v O_2 headspace for three weeks at 80 °C. Cells from 50 mL of culture were pelleted by centrifugation at 10 min at 9300 x g and 4 °C in 50 mL conical tubes and stored at -80 °C prior to protein extraction based on a method by Pan and Banfield. Cell pellets were resuspended in 1.2 mL of SDS lysis buffer (5% SDS, 50 mM dithiothreitol, and 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8), transferred to a Lysis Matrix E tube used for DNA extractions (MP Biomedicals soil DNA isolation kit), and processed in a homogenizer three times for 30 seconds each at 4.5 m/s. Tubes were then incubated in a simmering water bath for 15 minutes and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 16,000 x g. Proteins in the resulting supernatant were precipitated by mixing at a 1:5 ratio with acetone and incubation at -20 °C overnight. Precipitated proteins were pelleted by centrifugation for 10 min at 4 °C and 16,000 x g, washed once with 90% acetone, and then stored at -20 °C with 20 μL 90% acetone remaining prior to shipping to the UC Davis proteomics center. Protein concentrates were dried and then the protein pellets were solubilized in 50 μL SDS solubilization buffer (5% SDS, 50 mM TEAB, pH 7.55) and subjected to clean-up, reduction, alkylation, and tryptic proteolysis via filter-aided sample preparation on suspension-trap (ProtiFi) devices. Data-independent analysis (DIA) was performed via ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) (nanoElute) coupled to a trapped ion mobility-time of flight (timsTOF) Pro operated in parallel accumulation-serial fragmentation mode. Liquid chromatography was performed on a UHPLC nano-flow Easy nLC (Bruker Baltonics), on a PepSep 150 mm x 25 cm C18 column (PepSep, Denmark; 1.5 μm particle size; 100 Å pores), with

a 45-minute gradient. This was directly on-line with hybrid timsTOF Pro (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) with a modified nano-electrospray ion source (CaptiveSpray, Bruker Daltonics). The acquisition scheme consisted of four 25 m/z precursor windows per 100 ms TIMS scan, 400 - 1200 m/z. Sixteen TIMS scans, creating 64 total windows, layered the doubly and triply charged peptides. Raw files were processed with Spectronaut v. 18 (Biognosys, Zurich, Switzerland) using DirectDIA analysis mode. Mass tolerance/accuracy for precursor and fragment identification was set to default settings. Peptides were matched against a custom database of high-quality genomes that were derived from the G-10 culture metagenomes, assembled and binned as described above (unclassified *Desulfurococcales* (RAST 6666666.879388), unclassified *Calditenuis* (RAST 3071572.3), or unclassified *Geoarchaeales* (RAST 6666666.752844), or from isolates from GBS (*F. sacchari* PD1^T, (GCA_030520105.1), *Pyrobaculum* sp. (RAST 2276.22), and *Thermoflexus hugenholtzii* JAD2^T (GCF_900187885.1)), along with a database of 112 common laboratory contaminants. A maximum of two missing cleavages were allowed, the required minimum peptide sequence length was 7 amino acids, and the peptide mass was limited to a maximum of 4,600 Da. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set as a fixed modification, and methionine oxidation and acetylation of protein N-termini as variable modifications. A decoy false discovery rate (FDR) at less than 1% for peptide spectrum matches and protein group identifications was used for spectra filtering (Spectronaut default). Decoy database hits, proteins identified as potential contaminants, and proteins identified exclusively by one site modification were excluded from further analysis. *F. sacchari* proteins were annotated using Egnog-mapper v2.1.9⁹⁰ and the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline⁶⁶.

Glycoside hydrolase gene synthesis, expression, and activity assays

F. sacchari genes encoding putative GHs were codon optimized with an *E. coli* codon usage table and the balanced strategy using BOOST⁹¹, synthesized, and assembled into pET21b (Novagen, Madison, WI) with NEBuilder HiFi Assembly kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with an N-terminal His6-tag, a GB1 solubility tag, and a TEV protease cleavage site. Plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* T7 Express cells (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), which were incubated in LB broth with 100 µg/mL ampicillin at 37 °C with shaking at 225 rpm until reaching an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.8 at which time isopropylthio-β-galactoside (0.5 mM) was added followed by overnight incubation at 37 °C with shaking at 150 rpm. Cells were centrifuged at 16,100 x g,

resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.0), lysed by sonication for 40 seconds with 40% cycle and level 4 output with a Branson 450 Sonifier (Branson Ultrasonics, Brookfield, CT), and clarified by centrifugation at 16,100 x g. Enzymes were purified by heating the soluble fraction to 80 °C for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 16,100 x g to remove denatured host proteins in the pellet. Expression, purity, and solubility were assessed using 7.5% SDS-PAGE. All enzymes expressed well; however, 31 were only visible in the insoluble fraction and were therefore not screened for enzymatic activity.

To identify active GHs for further study, soluble enzymes were tested for activity as single replicates using substrates used by *F. sacchari*, each at 0.5% m/v: chondroitin sulfate (Alfa Aesar), colloidal chitin prepared as described previously⁹² (Beantown Chemicals), brown algae fucoidan (BestVite), lupin galactan (Megazyme), gellan gum (Serva), karaya gum (Sigma), locust bean gum (Spectrum), xanthan gum (Sigma), birch wood xylan (Sigma), tamarind xyloglucan (CarboMer), oat β -glucan (Megazyme), oyster glycogen (TCI), potato starch (J.T. Baker), and ammonia fiber expansion (AFEX)-pretreated corn stover, *Miscanthus*, and sugarcane bagasse (DuPont). Substrates and enzymes or a sham protein preparation from an empty vector control were mixed 1:1 in microplate wells (final volume 40 μ L), incubated overnight at 80 °C, and reducing sugars were quantified using a 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) assay⁹³ against a standard curve generated using glucose at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 mM.

The initial activity screen revealed four active GHs: Fsa01040Cel, Fsa02490Xyn, Fsa15405Xyn, and Fsa16295Glu. Fsa01040Cel and Fsa02490Xyn were further purified using immobilized metal affinity chromatography by loading soluble fractions onto HisPur™ Ni-NTA Spin Columns (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 300 mM sodium chloride and 10 mM imidazole. The columns were then washed with 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 300 mM sodium chloride and 25 mM imidazole, and the enzymes were eluted using 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 300 mM sodium chloride and 250 mM imidazole. To remove imidazole, the eluted fractions were buffer exchanged into lysis buffer using Pierce™ Protein Concentrators with 10K molecular weight cut-off (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). Protein concentrations were determined by a Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). The initial activities were verified in

triplicate, and additional substrates were tested based on known activities from each enzyme's GH family: carboxymethyl cellulose (Fsa01040Cel, GH5); beechwood xylan (Fsa02490Xyn, GH10); agarose and carboxymethyl curdlan (Fsa16295Glu, GH50). The temperature ranges of Fsa01040Cel, Fsa02490Xyn, Fsa15405Xyn, and Fsa16295Glu were determined using optimal substrates with enzymes or empty vector controls mixed 1:1, incubated overnight at 4, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, 100, 110, and 120 °C. Reducing sugars were quantified as above. Temperature optima were determined using one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference tests.

Phylogenomic analysis and ancestral state reconstruction

For phylogenomic analyses, taxon selection for *Ca. Fervidibacteria* consisted of all MAGs and SAGs included in public databases and our own data that were identified as belonging to class HRBIN17 in the *Armatimonadota* using GTDB-Tk v. 2.3.2⁹⁴ with the RS214 database. To place the lineage assigned HRBIN17 into context within the domain Bacteria, taxon selection entailed inclusion of only high-quality genomes, with a representative of each class in the domain bacteria, a representative of each order in the Terrabacteria, and all high-quality genomes in the *Armatimonadota*. This resulted in a taxon set of 731 taxa, of which 65 belonged to HRBIN17. To assess congruence among phylogenomic trees constructed with different marker sets, all genomes were analyzed with the GTDB-Tk v. 2.3.2, bcgTree v1.2.0⁹⁵, and UBCG2⁹⁶ pipelines. Individual marker alignments were extracted and subjected to model testing for identifying the appropriate evolutionary model with ProtTest v3.4.2⁹⁷ and concatenation as implemented in FASconCAT-G v3.4.2⁹⁸. This produced three concatenated, partitioned data matrices, with the appropriate evolutionary model assigned to each partition (i.e., marker) within the data matrices (Supplementary Tables 20-22). These concatenated data matrices were then subjected to maximum-likelihood analysis with IQ-Tree v. 1.6.8⁹⁹ using independent model parameter estimation for each partition and allowing for independent evolutionary rates¹⁰⁰ (-spp, and branch support was inferred using Shimodaira-Hasegawa approximate likelihood ratio tests¹⁰¹ (SH-aLRT) and ultrafast bootstrapping¹⁰² from 1,000 replicates each. For confirming the taxonomic placement of the lineage HRBIN17 as a class within the *Armatimonadota*, trees were rooted with all other

bacteria, and positioning of the lineage within the *Armatimonadota* was assessed. All trees were visualized in iTOL v6.8.1¹⁰³ and edited in Adobe Illustrator vCS6.

For inferring evolutionary events of genes within the class, presence/absence profiles consisting of consensus counts for all KEGG orthology terms, GHs, and hydrogenases were constructed for all species in the *Fervidibacteria*, and one representative per order and genomes of isolates in the *Armatimonadota*, represented by high-completeness, low-contamination genomes. For this, these genomes were subjected to gene calling with Prodigal v. 2.6.2¹⁰⁴ and annotated with eggNOG-mapper v. 2.1.9⁹⁰. CAZymes were predicted for these genomes with dbCAN3¹⁶, and genes identified as hydrogenases were subjected to hydrogenase classification using HydDB¹⁸. A cladogram inferred from a Bac120 tree constructed as above, with only these high-completeness and low-contamination genomes was used as the evolutionary hypothesis against which the presence/absence profiles were interrogated. Evolutionary events were predicted through rate optimization and posterior probabilities in COUNT¹⁰⁵ and included gene gains and losses. For visualizing these events, a cladogram of the species tree was annotated with node numbers with a summary of events depicted at each node for traits of interest. The full COUNT session file is available as Data File S1.

Inclusion and ethics

This research included local researchers as full authors, when possible, to recognize both logistical and intellectual contributions. No potential or listed authors were discriminated against on the basis of gender, race, ethnicity or any other factors not related to scientific contributions.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The complete *F. sacchari* PD1^T genome and MAGs serving as nomenclatural types are available in GenBank under accession numbers listed in [Supplementary Table 10](#), and all other genomes

were submitted to eLMSG and/or IMG under accession numbers listed in [Supplementary Table 10](#). Metagenomic reads from which SeqCode nomenclatural types were derived are available in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the SRA accessions listed in [Supplementary Table 10](#). Raw and processed RNA-Seq data are available in the GEO database (GSE249938). The proteomics and metaproteomics data are available from the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (MSV000093641). All other data are contained within the manuscript and supplements.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dave and Sandy Jamieson for access to GBS and we acknowledge that GBS is on historical lands inhabited by the Cui Ui Ticutta (Cui-ui eaters) band of Northern Paiutes. We thank Ramunas Stepanauskas for obtaining support from JGI for the MDM2 project, which generated a few *Fervidibacteria* MAGs, and Senthil Murugapiran for help binning some of the *Fervidibacteria* MAGs. We thank high school teachers Kathleen Diver, Heather Garcia, Juan Salgado, and Chuong Vu for assistance with isotope labeling experiments at GBS. Part of this work was carried out at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) under Contract DE-AC52-07NA2734. Funding was provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB 1557042 and EAR-1820658), NASA (80NNSC17KO548 and 80NSSC19M0150), Nevada NASA Space Grant (80NSSC20M0043), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 91951205). The Mick Hitchcock Ph.D. Nevada Proteomics Center is supported by a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM103440 and GM104944) from the National Institutes of Health. The work (proposal: <https://doi.org/10.46936/10.25585/60001337>, [10.46936/10.25585/60001099](https://doi.org/10.46936/10.25585/60001099)) conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (<https://ror.org/04xm1d337>), a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. J.D., C.G., L.G, M.N. were supported by funds from the CSUSB OSR 2016 Summer Research Program.

Contributions

B.P.H., N.N., J.A.D., X.M., S.B., and M.P. conceived of the study. J.D., C.G., L.G, M.N., D.M. and J.A.D. conducted original cultivation and 16S rRNA gene screens. N.N. conducted genome-

enabled lab enrichments and monitored cultures by qPCR and FISH. N.N. conducted all other cultivation experiments. D.M. and J.A.D. isolated the strain using optical tweezers. A.R.M. and A.B. conducted cryo-EM and led structural interpretations. M.P., N.N., E.E.-F., T.W. and N.S. contributed to DNA isolation, genome sequencing, assembly, and annotation. C.O.S., and D.L. analyzed transcriptomics and proteomics data. J.A.D., A.E.D., and S.B. performed FISH on short-term incubations with GBS sediments. X.M., J.J., P.K.W., J.P.-R., and D.L. analyzed samples with nanoSIMS and nanoSIMS data analysis/interpretation. J.-F.C., I.K.B., Y.Y., J.K.C, N.T., A.C., S.B., and M.H. contributed to GH annotation, DNA synthesis, expression, and activity measurements. J.-Y.J., and W.-J.L. were involved in metagenomic sampling, sequencing, assembly, annotation and binning. M.P. and D.L. performed phylogenomic analyses and M.P. performed ACS reconstructions. B.P.H. and N.N. wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to the final version of the paper.

Ethics declaration

Competing interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References

1. Seidi, F. *et al.* Crystalline polysaccharides: a review. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **275**, 118624 (2022).
2. Blumer-Schuette, S. E., Kataeva, I., Westpheling, J., Adams, M. W. & Kelly, R. M. Extremely thermophilic microorganisms for biomass conversion: status and prospects. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **19**, 210–217 (2008).
3. Brochier-Armanet, C., Talla, E. & Gribaldo, S. The multiple evolutionary histories of dioxygen reductases: implications for the origin and evolution of aerobic respiration. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **26**, 285–297 (2009).
4. Murali, R., Gennis, R. B. & Hemp, J. Evolution of the cytochrome bd oxygen reductase superfamily and the function of CydAA' in Archaea. *ISME J.* **15**, 3534–3548 (2021).
5. Murali, R., Hemp, J. & Gennis, R. B. Evolution of quinol oxidation within the heme-copper oxidoreductase superfamily. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) - Bioenerg.* **1863**, 148907 (2022).
6. Lynd, L. R. *et al.* How biotech can transform biofuels. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**, 169–172 (2008).

- 855 7. Nayfach, S. *et al.* A genomic catalog of Earth's microbiomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 499–509 (2021).
- 856 8. Parks, D. H. *et al.* GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a
857 phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids*
858 *Res.* **50**, D785–D794 (2022).
- 859 9. Buessecker, S. *et al.* An essential role for tungsten in the ecology and evolution of a previously
860 uncultivated lineage of anaerobic, thermophilic Archaea. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3773 (2022).
- 861 10. Reichart, N. J. *et al.* Activity-based cell sorting reveals responses of uncultured archaea and bacteria
862 to substrate amendment. *ISME J.* **14**, 2851–2861 (2020).
- 863 11. Rinke, C. *et al.* Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter. *Nature*
864 **499**, 431–437 (2013).
- 865 12. Costa, K. C. *et al.* Microbiology and geochemistry of Great Boiling and Mud hot springs in the
866 United States Great Basin. *Extremophiles* **13**, 447–459 (2009).
- 867 13. Cole, J. K. *et al.* Sediment microbial communities in Great Boiling Spring are controlled by
868 temperature and distinct from water communities. *ISME J.* **7**, 718–729 (2013).
- 869 14. Peacock, J. P. *et al.* Pyrosequencing reveals high-temperature cellulolytic microbial consortia in
870 Great Boiling Spring after *in situ* lignocellulose enrichment. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e59927 (2013).
- 871 15. Reichart, N. J., Bowers, R. M., Woyke, T. & Hatzenpichler, R. High potential for biomass-degrading
872 enzymes revealed by hot spring metagenomics. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 668238 (2021).
- 873 16. Zheng, J. *et al.* dbCAN3: automated carbohydrate-active enzyme and substrate annotation. *Nucleic*
874 *Acids Res.* **51**, W115–W121 (2023).
- 875 17. Hedlund, B. P., Thomas, S. C., Dodsworth, J. A. & Zhang, C. L. Life in high-temperature
876 environments. in *Manual of environmental microbiology* (eds. Yates, M. V., Nakatsu, C. H., Miller,
877 R. V. & Pillai, S. D.) 4.3.4-1-4.3.4-15 (ASM Press, 2015).
- 878 18. Søndergaard, D., Pedersen, C. N. S. & Greening, C. HydDB: a web tool for hydrogenase
879 classification and analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34212 (2016).

19. Peters, J. W. *et al.* [FeFe]- and [NiFe]-hydrogenase diversity, mechanism, and maturation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA) - Mol. Cell Res.* **1853**, 1350–1369 (2015).
20. Yip, V. L. Y. *et al.* An unusual mechanism of glycoside hydrolysis involving redox and elimination steps by a family 4 β -glycosidase from *Thermotoga maritima*. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 8354–8355 (2004).
21. Liu, Q. P. *et al.* Bacterial glycosidases for the production of universal red blood cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **25**, 454–464 (2007).
22. Teze, D. *et al.* The catalytic acid–base in GH109 resides in a conserved GGHGG loop and allows for comparable α -retaining and β -inverting activity in an *N*-acetylgalactosaminidase from *Akkermansia muciniphila*. *ACS Catal.* **10**, 3809–3819 (2020).
23. Ishikura, H., Arakawa, S., Nakajima, T., Tsuchida, N. & Ishikawa, I. Cloning of the *Tannerella forsythensis* (*Bacteroides forsythus*) *siaHI* gene and purification of the sialidase enzyme. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **52**, 1101–1107 (2003).
24. Strazzulli, A. *et al.* Discovery of hyperstable carbohydrate-active enzymes through metagenomics of extreme environments. *FEBS J.* **287**, 1116–1137 (2020).
25. Daniel, R. M. & Danson, M. J. Did primitive microorganisms use nonhem iron proteins in place of NAD/P? *J. Mol. Evol.* **40**, 559–563 (1995).
26. Bodelón, G., Palomino, C. & Fernández, L. Á. Immunoglobulin domains in *Escherichia coli* and other enterobacteria: from pathogenesis to applications in antibody technologies. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **37**, 204–250 (2013).
27. Pires, V. M. R. *et al.* The crystal structure of the family 6 carbohydrate binding module from *Cellvibrio mixtus* endoglucanase 5A in complex with oligosaccharides reveals two distinct binding sites with different ligand specificities. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 21560–21568 (2004).
28. Zeytuni, N. & Zarivach, R. Structural and functional discussion of the tetra-trico-peptide repeat, a protein interaction module. *Structure* **20**, 397–405 (2012).

29. Artzi, L., Bayer, E. A. & Morais, S. Cellulosomes: bacterial nanomachines for dismantling plant polysaccharides. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 83–95 (2017).
30. Cava, F., De Pedro, M. A., Schwarz, H., Henne, A. & Berenguer, J. Binding to pyruvylated compounds as an ancestral mechanism to anchor the outer envelope in primitive bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **52**, 677–690 (2004).
31. Boyd, E. S., Fecteau, K. M., Havig, J. R., Shock, E. L. & Peters, J. W. Modeling the habitat range of phototrophs in Yellowstone National Park: toward the development of a comprehensive fitness landscape. *Front. Microbiol.* **3**, (2012).
32. Rodrigues-Oliveira, T., Belmok, A., Vasconcellos, D., Schuster, B. & Kyaw, C. M. Archaeal S-layers: overview and current state of the art. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 2597 (2017).
33. Goswami, R., Bandyopadhyay, B. & Sadhukhan, S. Thermophilic bacterial exopolysaccharides: from bio-physicochemical characterization to biotechnological applications. in *Advances in environmental engineering and green technologies* (eds. Gunjal, A. B., Thombre, R. & Parray, J. A.) 334–361 (IGI Global, 2022).
34. Lai, D. *et al.* Resource partitioning and amino acid assimilation in a terrestrial geothermal spring. *ISME J.* **17**, 2112–2122 (2023).
35. Conway, J. M. *et al.* Functional analysis of the glucan degradation locus in *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* reveals essential roles of component glycoside hydrolases in plant biomass deconstruction. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **83**, e01828-17 (2017).
36. Yang, S.-J. *et al.* Efficient degradation of lignocellulosic plant biomass, without pretreatment, by the thermophilic anaerobe “*Anaerocellum thermophilum*” DSM 6725. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 4762–4769 (2009).
37. Zhang, Y.-H. P. & Lynd, L. R. Cellulose utilization by *Clostridium thermocellum*: bioenergetics and hydrolysis product assimilation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 7321–7325 (2005).
38. Ng, T. K. & Zeikus, J. G. Differential metabolism of cellobiose and glucose by *Clostridium thermocellum* and *Clostridium thermohydrosulfuricum*. *J. Bacteriol.* **150**, 1391–1399 (1982).

39. Blank, C. E., Cady, S. L. & Pace, N. R. Microbial composition of near-boiling silica-depositing thermal springs throughout Yellowstone National Park. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**, 5123–5135 (2002).
40. Konstantinidis, K. T. & Tiedje, J. M. Towards a genome-based taxonomy for prokaryotes. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, 6258–6264 (2005).
41. Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T. & Aluru, S. High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5114 (2018).
42. Louca, S. The rates of global bacterial and archaeal dispersal. *ISME J.* **16**, 159–167 (2022).
43. Hedlund, B. P. *et al.* SeqCode: a nomenclatural code for prokaryotes described from sequence data. *Nat. Microbiol.* (2022).
44. Caffrey, S. M. *et al.* Function of periplasmic hydrogenases in the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 6159–6167 (2007).
45. Schut, G. J., Boyd, E. S., Peters, J. W. & Adams, M. W. W. The modular respiratory complexes involved in hydrogen and sulfur metabolism by heterotrophic hyperthermophilic archaea and their evolutionary implications. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **37**, 182–203 (2013).
46. Koch, R. Die Ätiologie der Milzbrand-Krankheit, begründet auf die entwicklungsgeschichte des *Bacillus Anthracis* (1876). in *Robert Koch* 19–43 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2018).
47. Doud, D. F. R. *et al.* Function-driven single-cell genomics uncovers cellulose-degrading bacteria from the rare biosphere. *ISME J.* **14**, 659–675 (2020).
48. Vishnivetskaya, T. A. *et al.* Community analysis of plant biomass-degrading microorganisms from Obsidian Pool, Yellowstone National Park. *Microb. Ecol.* **69**, 333–345 (2015).
49. Kelly, R. M. & Adams, M. W. W. Metabolism in hyperthermophilic microorganisms. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **66**, 247–270 (1994).
50. Girfoglio, M., Rossi, M. & Cannio, R. Cellulose degradation by *Sulfolobus solfataricus* requires a cell-anchored endo- β -1-4-glucanase. *J. Bacteriol.* **194**, 5091–5100 (2012).

957 51. Quehenberger, J., Shen, L., Albers, S.-V., Siebers, B. & Spadiut, O. *Sulfolobus* – a potential key
958 organism in future biotechnology. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 2474 (2017).

959 52. Trincone, A. *et al.* Enzymatic synthesis and hydrolysis of xylogluco-oligosaccharides using the first
960 archaeal α -xylosidase from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*. *Extremophiles* **5**, 277–282 (2001).

961 53. Doran, P. M. *Bioprocess Engineering Principles*. (Elsevier, 2013).

962 54. Anderson, I. *et al.* Genomics of aerobic cellulose utilization systems in *Actinobacteria*. *PLoS ONE* **7**,
963 e39331 (2012).

964 55. Schwarz, W. H. The cellulosome and cellulose degradation by anaerobic bacteria. *Appl. Microbiol.*
965 *Biotechnol.* **56**, 634–649 (2001).

966 56. Wilson, D. B. Evidence for a novel mechanism of microbial cellulose degradation. *Cellulose* **16**,
967 723–727 (2009).

968 57. Dodsworth, J. A., McDonald, A. I. & Hedlund, B. P. Calculation of total free energy yield as an
969 alternative approach for predicting the importance of potential chemolithotrophic reactions in
970 geothermal springs. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **81**, 446–454 (2012).

971 58. Murphy, C. N., Dodsworth, J. A., Babbitt, A. B. & Hedlund, B. P. Community microrespirometry
972 and molecular analyses reveal a diverse energy economy in Great Boiling Spring and Sandy’s Spring
973 West in the U.S. Great Basin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **79**, 3306–3310 (2013).

974 59. Dodsworth, J. A. *et al.* *Thermoflexus hugenholtzii* gen. nov., sp. nov., a thermophilic,
975 microaerophilic, filamentous bacterium representing a novel class in the *Chloroflexi*, *Thermoflexia*
976 classis nov., and description of *Thermoflexaceae* fam. nov. and *Thermoflexales* ord. nov. *Int. J. Syst.*
977 *Evol. Microbiol.* **64**, 2119–2127 (2014).

978 60. Hanada, S., Hiraishi, A., Shimada, K. & Matsuura, K. *Chloroflexus aggregans* sp. nov., a filamentous
979 phototrophic bacterium which forms dense cell aggregates by active gliding movement. *Int. J. Syst.*
980 *Bacteriol.* **45**, 676–681 (1995).

981 61. Wolin, E. A., Wolin, M. J. & Wolfe, R. S. Formation of methane by bacterial extracts. *J. Biol. Chem.*
982 **238**, 2882–2886 (1963).

62. Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K. & Schloss, P. D. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **79**, 5112–5120 (2013).
63. Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L. & Miller, W. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. *J. Comput. Biol.* **7**, 203–214 (2000).
64. Pruesse, E., Peplies, J. & Glöckner, F. O. SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1823–1829 (2012).
65. Salter, S. J. *et al.* Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biol.* **12**, 87 (2014).
66. Landry, Z. C., Giovanonni, S. J., Quake, S. R. & Blainey, P. C. Optofluidic cell selection from complex microbial communities for single-genome analysis. in *Methods in enzymology* vol. **531**, 61–90 (Elsevier, 2013).
67. Cole, J. K. *et al.* *Kallotenue papyrolyticum* gen. nov., sp. nov., a cellulolytic and filamentous thermophile that represents a novel lineage (*Kallotenuales* ord. nov., *Kallotenuaceae* fam. nov.) within the class *Chloroflexia*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **63**, 4675–4682 (2013).
68. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).
69. Tatusova, T. *et al.* NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 6614–6624 (2016).
70. Kolmogorov, M. *et al.* metaFlye: scalable long-read metagenome assembly using repeat graphs. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1103–1110 (2020).
71. Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A. & Pevzner, P. A. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res.* **27**, 824–834 (2017).
72. Thomas, S. C. *et al.* Position-specific metabolic probing and metagenomics of microbial communities reveal conserved central carbon metabolic network activities at high temperatures. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 1427 (2019).

73. Fernandes-Martins, M. C. *et al.* Ecological dichotomies arise in microbial communities due to mixing of deep hydrothermal waters and atmospheric gas in a circumneutral hot spring. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **87**, e01598-21 (2021).
74. Kato, S. *et al.* Long-term cultivation and metagenomics reveal ecophysiology of previously uncultivated thermophiles involved in biogeochemical nitrogen cycle. *Microbes Environ.* **33**, 107–110 (2018).
75. Liew, K. J. *et al.* Thermophiles and carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) in biofilm microbial consortia that decompose lignocellulosic plant litters at high temperatures. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 2850 (2022).
76. Strous, M., Kraft, B., Bisdorf, R. & Tegetmeyer, H. E. The binning of metagenomic contigs for microbial physiology of mixed cultures. *Front. Microbiol.* **3**, (2012).
77. Dick, G. J. *et al.* Community-wide analysis of microbial genome sequence signatures. *Genome Biol.* **10**, R85 (2009).
78. Becraft, E. D. *et al.* Single-cell-genomics-facilitated read binning of candidate phylum EM19 genomes from geothermal spring metagenomes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 992–1003 (2016).
79. Hua, Z.-S. *et al.* Ecological roles of dominant and rare prokaryotes in acid mine drainage revealed by metagenomics and metatranscriptomics. *ISME J.* **9**, 1280–1294 (2015).
80. Kang, D. D. *et al.* MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **7**, e7359 (2019).
81. Chklovski, A., Parks, D. H., Woodcroft, B. J. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM2: a rapid, scalable and accurate tool for assessing microbial genome quality using machine learning. *Nat. Methods* **20**, 1203–1212 (2023).
82. Menzel, P., Ng, K. L. & Krogh, A. Fast and sensitive taxonomic classification for metagenomics with Kaiju. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11257 (2016).
83. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).
84. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

85. Dixon, P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J. Veg. Sci.* **14**, 927–930 (2003).
86. Wessel, D. & Flügge, U. I. A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Anal. Biochem.* **138**, 141–143 (1984).
87. Ahlmann-Eltze, C. & Anders, S. proDA: probabilistic dropout analysis for identifying differentially abundant proteins in label-free mass spectrometry.
88. Cole, J. R. *et al.* Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D633–D642 (2014).
89. Quast, C. *et al.* The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D590–D596 (2012).
90. Cantalapiedra, C. P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Huerta-Cepas, J. eggNOG-mapper v2: functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 5825–5829 (2021).
91. Oberortner, E., Cheng, J.-F., Hillson, N. J. & Deutsch, S. Streamlining the design-to-build transition with build-optimization software tools. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **6**, 485–496 (2017).
92. Hsu, S. C. & Lockwood, J. L. Powdered chitin agar as a selective medium for enumeration of *Actinomycetes* in water and soil. *Appl. Microbiol.* **29**, 422–426 (1975).
93. Kim, J. J. *et al.* Effective microwell plate-based screening method for microbes producing cellulase and xylanase and its application. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **24**, 1559–1565 (2014).
94. Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly classification with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* **38**, 5315–5316 (2022).
95. Ankenbrand, M. J. & Keller, A. bcgTree: automatized phylogenetic tree building from bacterial core genomes. *Genome* **59**, 783–791 (2016).
96. Kim, J., Na, S.-I., Kim, D. & Chun, J. UBCG2: up-to-date bacterial core genes and pipeline for phylogenomic analysis. *J. Microbiol.* **59**, 609–615 (2021).

97. Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. ProtTest 3: fast selection of best-fit models of protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1164–1165 (2011).
98. Kück, P. & Longo, G. C. FASconCAT-G: extensive functions for multiple sequence alignment preparations concerning phylogenetic studies. *Front. Zool.* **11**, 81 (2014).
99. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., Von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 268–274 (2015).
100. Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Syst. Biol.* **65**, 997–1008 (2016).
101. Guindon, S. *et al.* New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).
102. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap pproximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522 (2018).
103. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, W293–W296 (2021).
104. Hyatt, D. *et al.* Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 119 (2010).
105. Csűös, M. Count: evolutionary analysis of phylogenetic profiles with parsimony and likelihood. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 1910–1912 (2010).

1081 **Table 1. Taxon names proposed under the ICNP.**

Proposed taxon	Etymology	Nomenclatural type
Class <i>Fervidibacteria</i>	Fer.vi.di.bac.te'ri.a. N.L. masc. n. <i>Fervidibacter</i> type genus of the type order of the class; L. suff. <i>-ia</i> ending to denote a class; N.L. neut. pl. n. <i>Fervidibacteria</i> the class of the order <i>Fervidibacterales</i>	Order <i>Fervidibacterales</i>
Order <i>Fervidibacterales</i>	Fer.vi.di.bac.te.ra'les. N.L. masc. n. <i>Fervidibacter</i> type genus of the order; L. suff. <i>-ales</i> ending to denote an order; N.L. fem. pl. n. <i>Fervidibacterales</i> the order of the genus <i>Fervidibacter</i>	Genus <i>Fervidibacter</i>
Family <i>Fervidibacteraceae</i>	Fer.vi.di.bac.te.ra'ce.ae. N.L. masc. n. <i>Fervidibacter</i> type genus of the family; L. suff. <i>-aceae</i> ending to denote a family; N.L. fem. pl. n. <i>Fervidibacteraceae</i> the family of the genus <i>Fervidibacter</i>	Genus <i>Fervidibacter</i>
Genus <i>Fervidibacter</i>	Fer.vi.di.bac'ter. L. masc. adj. <i>fervidus</i> , hot, steaming; N.L. masc. n. <i>bacter</i> , a rod; N.L. masc. n. <i>Fervidibacter</i> , a hot rod	Species <i>Fervidibacter sacchari</i> ^T
Species <i>Fervidibacter sacchari</i>	sac'cha.ri. N.L. gen. n. <i>sacchari</i> , of sugar	Strain PD1 ^T = JCM 39283 ^T = DSM 113467 ^T

1082




Fig. 1. *In situ* abundance, enrichment, and isolation of *F. sacchari* PD1^T. **a**, Great Boiling Spring in Gerlach, NV. **b**, Abundance of metagenomic reads from 85 °C sediments mapping to *F. sacchari* and other abundant prokaryotes. **c**, Visualization of *F. sacchari* in 85 °C sediments by FISH using a Cy-3 label. **d**, Enrichment of *F. sacchari* in lab cultures with biomass substrates, casamino acids, or volatile fatty acids; media composition and additional results are shown in Supplementary Figs 1 and 2. **e**, FISH of 6-FAM labeled *F. sacchari* in locust bean gum lab enrichment containing >99.9% *F. sacchari*. **f**, cryo-electron tomogram of pre-divisional *F. sacchari* cells. A single color legend for taxa is used for **b** and **d**.




Fig. 2. Growth of strain PD1^T on carbohydrates and expression of CAZymes. a, *F. sacchari* growth on carbohydrates, lignocellulosic biomass, and casamino acids versus no-carbon source control (Welch's t-test, *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.001$). Error bars, C.I. Sugar mix, 0.005% w/v each of D-glucose/D-ribose/D-xylose; AFEX, ammonia fiber expansion. b, Rank-abundance plot of

1097 putative GHs based on mean mRNA abundance following growth on five different
1098 polysaccharides. GHs present in the culture G-10 metaproteome, multi-domain GHs, and
1099 expressed GHs are highlighted. **c**, NMDS based on *F. sacchari* RNA-Seq data with PD1^T grown
1100 on five different polysaccharides (global ANOSIM $p < 0.001$). **d**, NMDS based on only CAZymes
1101 from the same RNA-Seq data (global ANOSIM $p < 0.001$).

1102




Fig. 3. ^{13}C and ^{15}N incorporation by *F. sacchari* in GBS sediments by FISH-nanoSIMS. a, Isotopic enrichments in *F. sacchari* identified by FISH (green bars) and other cells (grey bars). Each point reflects the percent of cellular ^{13}C or ^{15}N in a single cell following stable isotope probing with ^{13}C -labelled substrates and ^{15}N -ammonium (n = number of cells). Boxes represent 25th and 75th percentiles and central mark is the median. Vertical black lines show standard deviations. (Welch's t-test, *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.001$). b, FISH showing *F. sacchari* cells (6-FAM, dotted circles), N content mapping (upper right), and nanoSIMS ion ratio images reflecting ^{13}C assimilation (lower left) and ^{15}N assimilation (lower right) in representative *F. sacchari* cells and other cells (no 6-FAM label).






Fig. 5. Genomic relatedness, phylogeny, and evolution of polysaccharide catabolism in the *Fervidibacteria*. a, Average nucleotide identity (ANI) and average amino acid identity (AAI)


among nomenclatural types for each *Fervidibacteria* species. The same color scheme used in a is used for taxa indicated with numbers. A full ANI and AAI matrix for all medium- and high-quality genomes is shown in [Supplementary Table 18](#). **b**, A maximum-likelihood phylogeny based on the concatenated, partitioned sequence alignment of 120 conserved bacterial marker sequences (bac120), with appropriate evolutionary models for each partition. Filled circles represent supported nodes at the species level and above based on >90% support from 1000 pseudoreplicates. Species are indicated with different colors, with species within the same genus indicated in different shades of the same color. Taxon names in bold and indicated with a star are the proposed nomenclatural types. Gray sequence IDs represent MAGs are below quality standards for the SeqCode. **c**, Phylogeny of *Fervidibacteria* species showing glycoside hydrolase data for each species based on the mean abundance of each category in high-quality genomes assigned to each species. The presence of terminal oxidase subunits for aerobic respiration and hydrogenases are shown in the matrix, with gene gain/loss events numbered. **d**, Key of terminal oxidases and hydrogenases gained and lost; dashed circles show partial gains. Phylogenetic trees generated with different marker gene sets are shown in [Extended Data Fig. 8](#) and an expanded ancestral state reconstruction is shown in [Extended Data Fig. 8](#).




Extended Data Fig 1. Relative abundance of ASVs in 22 enrichment cultures. The 14 most abundant taxa following incubations of 2 weeks (primary transfer) and 4 weeks (secondary transfer) at various compositions and conditions are shown. *F. sacchari* was present in nearly all successful enrichments and most abundant in aerobic enrichments with 0.005% lignin (culture G-4) or 0.5 mL of an aqueous extract from GBS photosynthetic mats (culture G-12). nd, not determined due to no observable growth.



Extended Data Fig 2. Doubling time of *F. sacchari* PD1^T at various growth temperatures. Cell cultures of *F. sacchari* grew at 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, and 87.5 °C as measured by cell counting using a Petroff-Hausser counting chamber every ~12 hours. No growth was observed at 62.5 or 90 °C. Error bars, standard deviation (n=3).



Extended Data Fig 3. NMDS based on proteome data for PD1^T grown on five different polysaccharides. **a**, NMDS based on all proteins detected for PD1^T cultures grown on five different polysaccharides (global ANOSIM $p < 0.001$). **b**, NMDS based on all CAZymes (global ANOSIM $p < 0.001$).



Extended Data Fig 4. Computed structure models (CSMs) of representatives of PD1^T GH109, GH177, and GH179 enzymes. ColabFold integrated with ChimeraX v 1.5 was used to generate CSMs of five *F. sacchari* PD1^T enzymes along with AmGH109 as a control. The analysis shows conserved Rossmann folds and long, narrow NAD⁺ binding pockets and antiparallel β -sheets likely

1169 involved in dimerization. Putative active site residues are variable. **a**, ribbon models. **b**, space-
1170 filling models. **c**, Predicted aligned error (PAE) plots for each residue pair.

1171



Extended Data Fig 5. Phylogenetic analysis of all *Armatimonadota* enzymes with annotated GH109, GH177, and GH179 domains and all relatives from the CAZy database. Sequences were aligned with MAFFT-DASH to bring protein structural alignments into account. The global alignment was trimmed with a gappyness threshold of 0.5 (i.e., alignment columns with sequence information in less than 50% of the taxa are excluded) and used for maximum-likelihood analysis in IQ-Tree v. 2.1.3, with SH-aLRT branch support inferred from 1,000 replicates. Sequences of

1179 the GH109 (blue), GH177 (green), and GH179 (magenta) in the CAZy database are indicated with
1180 grey branches, and functionally characterized sequences (function and accession numbers shown)
1181 are indicated with colored arrows. *Armatimonadota* sequences are indicated with black branches,
1182 and *F. sacchari* sequences are indicated with red branches and red stars.

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193


1194

1195

1196

Extended Data Fig 6. Primary structure models of multidomain CAZymes encoded by PD1^T.

Carbohydrate-active enzymes encoding multiple CAZyme domains as predicted with dbCAN3 with at least 2 predictive tools. Signal peptides, transmembrane domains, and localization of enzymes were predicted with DeepTMHMM. Other protein domains were identified with HMMscan against all protein family databases with default settings. Domains for families of carbohydrate-binding modules (green), carbohydrate esterases (orange), glycoside hydrolases (purple), glycosyl transferases (yellow), and polysaccharide lyases (red) are indicated with the number corresponding to the family. All other protein domains are indicated in blue, and predicted signal peptides are indicated for extracellular enzymes in grey. No transmembrane domains were detected in the multi-domain CAZymes of *Fervidibacter sacchari*. NCBI protein IDs are provided for all enzymes. For full dbCAN3 annotations, see [Table S3](#).




Extended Data Fig 7. ^{13}C and ^{15}N incorporation by *F. sacchari* in GBS sediments by FISH-nanoSIMS for extended incubations and anoxic conditions. All plots show isotopic enrichments in *F. sacchari* identified by FISH (green bars) and other cells (grey bars). Each point reflects the percent of cellular ^{13}C or ^{15}N in a single cell following stable isotope probing with ^{13}C -labelled substrates and ^{15}N -ammonium (n = number of cells). Boxes represent 25th and 75th percentiles and central mark is the median. Vertical black lines show standard deviations. (Welch's t-test, *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.001).


a bcgTree

- 1 *T. gerlachensis*
 - 2 *T. yellowstonensis*
 - 3 *T. tengchongensis*
 - 4 *C. malaysiensis*
 - 5 *C. sinensis*
 - 6 *F. japonicus*
 - 7 *F. canadensis*
 - 8 *F. sacchari*
 - 9 *F. sinensis*
- SH-aLRT ≥ 80 & UFBoot ≥ 95
★ Nomenclatural types


b UBCG2



Extended Data Fig. 8. Phylogeny of *Fervidibacteria* using alternative marker gene sets. a, b,
Maximum-likelihood phylogenies based on concatenated, partitioned sequence alignments of 111
(bcgTree) or 81 (UBCG2) conserved marker sequences, with appropriate evolutionary models for
each partition ([Supplementary Tables 21 and 22](#)). For both trees, filled circles represent supported
nodes at the species level and above based on >90% support from 1000 pseudoreplicates. Species
are indicated with different colors, with species within the same genus indicated in different shades
of the same color. Taxon names in bold and indicated with a star are the proposed nomenclatural
types. Gray sequence IDs represent species of which all MAGs are below quality standards for the
SeqCode.



Extended Data Fig. 9. Evolution of polysaccharide catabolism in the *Armatimonadota*. **a**, Cladogram of *Armatimonadota* species showing glycoside hydrolase data for each species based on the mean abundance of each category in high-quality genomes assigned to each species. The consensus presence of terminal oxidase subunits for aerobic respiration and hydrogenases for species are shown in the matrix, with gene gain/loss events numbered. Consensus presence profiles were generated from all high-completeness, low-contamination genomes available for each species. Absence of genes are indicated in the matrix with white, while presence in a single copy is indicated in the lightest shade, and presence of multiple copies within the species is indicated with the darkest shade. **b**, key of terminal oxidases and hydrogenases gained and lost. Green dots correspond to gains of the genes at specific nodes, while red dots represent losses of genes at specific nodes. Dots in lighter shades and circled with dotted lines indicate partial gains or losses of the sets of genes. For full ancestral character state reconstruction, see [Data File S1](#).



Extended Data Fig. 10. Heatmap of GHs encoded by high-quality genomes of *Feravidibacteria*.

Number of genes containing listed glycoside hydrolase domains per high-completeness, low-contamination *Feravidibacteria* genome as annotated by dbCAN3 using at least two predictive tools. Different colors represent different species, with different shades of the same color representing different species within the same genus. Species in bold represent proposed nomenclatural types. For full GH counts, see [Supplemental Data File 1](#).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Nou2023SupplementaryInformationV18submitted.pdf](#)
- [NouSupplementarytablesV18Submitted.xlsx](#)